

Submitted by Tony Marnell. Answers from staff in red.

Stadium Authority Board Questions

1. What date has been designated to start the Clark County High Impact Project Process review? **The county started the HIP process about a month ago. The public hearing on land use applications before the County Commission is set for Aug. 16.**
2. Please identify what section of the enabling legislation Senate Bill No. 1 eliminates the necessity for the public bidding process when public funds are being used for the stadium project. **This issue of contract bidding is generally addressed in Section 31 of Senate Bill 1.**
3. If the Oakland Raiders organization has been identified as the Developer, who is the independent qualified party protecting public interest? **The Las Vegas Stadium Authority Board represents the public interest.** Are the Oakland Raiders the Developer and the Lessee? **An affiliate of the Oakland Raiders is expected to be both the development partner and the stadium events company as contemplated by Senate Bill 1.**
4. What company has been selected locally, of the several qualified companies with experience in developing and building multibillion dollar projects in Clark County, to represent the Stadium Authority, i.e. the public interest? I note that I do not think anyone on the Board meets this experience criteria. **There is no owner's representative retained by the Las Vegas Stadium Authority at this point in time.**
5. How and where can the public procure a copy of the traffic study/assessment that the Stadium Authority used to determine site selection of the Russell Road stadium site as being qualified to meet all requirements necessary for a facility of this type? **No such action has been taken by the Stadium Authority. A copy of the traffic study submitted by the Raiders to Clark County is attached for your reference.**
6. In the enabling act by the legislature, Section 29 (J) requires the Authority to consider the use of multimodal facilities and the assurance that the facility is not detrimental to all other permitted transportation projects. When and how will this requirement be met? **The section you are referring to is 29.2(j). This is part of the stadium development agreement, which remains a work in progress. The developer will need to demonstrate to the satisfaction of the Stadium Authority that they have taken into consideration the use of multimodal facilities that use alternative modes of transportation and do not have detrimental impacts on other permitted transportation projects.**
7. Understanding the Act requires the two billion dollar facility to be a 21st Century competitive facility, please identify another such facility that is operated with the intended remote vehicle parking solution. **This is a concern that has been raised by the Stadium Authority. It is the Authority's understanding that the Raiders are working on solutions to the parking issues. This matter will be considered by the Stadium Authority as part of the design review and development agreement process as required by Section 29.2(a) and (b) of Senate Bill 1.**